

Investigation of the Jahn-Teller Effect on Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonding in Dimer Compounds of Methanoic Acid and Analog Containing S and Se Atoms

Abstract:

Based on the studies in the field of chemicals and the origin of the equilibrium geometric structure, any system tends to reach its stable state. Therefore, the unstable states of molecular systems with high symmetry configuration are inclined toward low symmetry and become stable. This effect is an important factor in the symmetry breaking of the molecules and solid-state systems. The vibronic coupling between the base and excited states along the core movements are assumed as distortions that alter the configuration of the system from a high-symmetry flat geometric structure to a low-symmetry distorted equilibrium structure with low symmetry. The distortion significantly affects the prediction of the systems' molecular spectrum and molecular properties, as the electrical, magnetic, and conductance properties, as well as other properties, can be changed. Based on what was said, the current study aimed to investigate the Pseudo Jahn-Teller Effect (PJTE) on the distortion of hydrogen bonding of dimer compounds of Methanoic acid and the analog containing the S and Se atoms. The results show that the dimer of compounds 1 to 3 in the high-symmetry form of D_{2h} has a high virtual frequency in b_{3g} symmetry. The results of TD-DFT studies also indicate that the distortions of the high-symmetry compounds 1 to 3 and their alteration into symmetric forms C_{2h} occur due to the PJTE ($A_g + B_{3g}$) \otimes b_{3g} . In this regard also, the energy distance of composite reference electron levels (Δ) is reduced from compound 1 to compound 3. Finally, the correlation between the structural parameters and the stability energy of PJTE in compounds 1 to 3 has been investigated.

Keywords: *Pseudo Jahn-Teller Effect, hydrogen bond, intramolecular distortion, computational chemistry, Gaussian software.*

Elahe Jalali *

*Department of Chemistry, Damghan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Damghan, Iran.
Email: ala.jalali@gmail.com*

1- Introduction:

In chemistry, the chemical stability is addressed in a specialist manner, which is meant to be the thermodynamic stability. Thermodynamic stability occurs when a system is in its lowest energy state or chemical equilibrium with the environment. The chemical stability might be dynamic in a way that the length of the bonds and angles in the molecules change so much that the molecule reaches stability with the lowest energy. Every system is inclined to maintain this type of stability until an unlimited time unless changes are applied to the system. In this case, the system is out of the stability state and naturally tends to regain stability [1].

In some specific chemical compounds, hydrogen bonding has been scientifically worthy of research and scrutiny due to its special importance in the chemical and biochemical phenomena and the structure of nature. Intramolecular hydrogen bonding exists in many organic molecules and biomolecules such as sugars, hormones, and proteins. Also, this bonding determines the configuration of many of the molecules and it is due to the presence of the same bonding that the proteins have a unique configuration. An important parameter in the division of the systems containing hydrogen bonding is the power of this bonding. This power is structurally determined by the distance between the two electronegative atoms (A ... B), the hydrogen bond length (H ... B), covalent

bond length (A—H), and bond angle ($\angle H^A B$), as well as the thermodynamic parameters such as the enthalpy of formation (ΔH_f), entropy of formation (ΔS_f), and Gibbs free energy [2, 3]. These empirical experienced methods cannot measure the hydrogen bonding power, or at least, their applicability is limited. The more useful and comprehensive methods in this regard are the theoretical and computational methods in which the molecule can be optimized in terms of the energy, in any electron or structure state. These methods, which are necessary for measuring intramolecular hydrogen bonding energy, have been also widely used after the arrival of high-tech and superfast computers on the market [4].

The Jahn-Teller Effect is a general approach to understanding the molecule's and crystals' properties, and it is one of the most wonderful phenomena of modern chemistry and physics. Regarding the recent achievements in the field of usage in molecular systems, the Jahn-Teller Effect, in addition to the provision of numerous solutions for the structural, spectral, and magnetic problems, has been used for explanation of the properties of new compounds such as the Fullerenes which have a high conductivity. The new usages of the Jahn-Teller Effect can be seen in reactivity and mechanism of chemical reactions [4].

One of the prominent criteria of the Jahn-Teller Effect is that when it is not needed, it disappears. The simplest Jahn-Teller problem is the doubled alignment effect of electron term E with

the binary vibration alignment e which is shown as $E \otimes e$. This effect is hidden in the non-linear molecular system with an electron formation in which the aligned orbitals are not occupied symmetrically, and it tends to somehow reduce the alignment in electron formation. It means that it tends to generate a gap between the aligned orbitals so that the symmetry would be reduced, and higher stability would be achieved [5].

This subject is the base for inference and justification of distortion in many mediator metal complexes such as the tetragonal distortion of octahedral complexes. In many of the octahedral complexes, due to asymmetry of the electron formation alignments, the regular octagonal structure with symmetry Oh is not the most stable structure for them. Therefore, the complexes lose their regular octagonal formation and their symmetry is reduced, getting a tetragonal formation (tetrahedral or two-pyramid two-square) to attain more stability [6].

In terms of the Jahn-Teller Effect, several studies have been done, especially, Liehr And Ballhausen investigated the Jahn-Teller problem $E \otimes e$ by the vibrational- electron reactions of the quadratic terms. In 1961-63, Bersuker [8] reported the fission of the lowest vibrational- electron energy levels due to the system tunneling between the distorted aligned configurations and their effects on the ESRs [7].

In 1964, O'Brien showed the vibrational- electron energy levels in the $E \otimes e$ problem by linear terms' vibrational-electron coupling and the quadratic terms and calculated it numerically. In 1965, Ham [10] generalized the idea of vibronic reduction factors. Important progress in the Jahn-Teller theory is the performance of Jahn-Teller cores interactions, especially the regular Jahn-Teller cores in the crystals which are known as the interactional Jahn-Teller effect [9].

Kanamori [11] in 1960, investigated such interactional phenomena in Jahn-Teller crystals while Elliot et al., [12] necessarily used this important method in the rare element of zircon. In 1966, Bersuker [13] firstly proposed the vibrational-electron theory for ferromagnetic compounds as the interactional Jahn-Teller Effect, while the idea of the interaction between the Jahn-Teller cores in the crystals and




Figure 1: the transformation of the formation with the D_{2h} symmetry in dimeric methanoic acid and the analog containing S and Se atoms into the formation C_{2h} ($D_{2h} \rightarrow C_{2h}$) by the use of calculations at the theoretical level B3LYP/6-311++G** ($X=O, S, Se$).

ordering the Jahn-Teller distortions was quite understandable and physically clear.

Garcia-Fernandez and Bersuker 2010, revealed the PJTE which is excited by the electron levels, showed that the solids and the multi-atom systems with partially filled aligned layers e^γ and t^γ , form a new group in terms of magnetic and dielectric stabilities. Garcia-Fernandez et al. in 2010 provided some results for PJTE in the Cis-Trans conformational compounds. They showed how the high-symmetry molecular geometrical formation $D_{\gamma d}$ with the binary bonding and electron configuration e^γ (e is the binary alignment of molecular orbital) transforms into the point group $D_{\gamma h}$ through different distortions of PJTE and the rotational transformation (b_γ) ($D_{\gamma d} \rightarrow D_{\gamma h}$).

The geometric formation with symmetry $D_{\gamma h}$ is categorized as the cis-trans intra-plate ei and chair and boat off-plate (e_o) formations. The other reactions of the PJTE are initiated with E levels that gave high energy. The understanding of these formations depends on the position of the excited levels E and the parametric values of PJTE [14].

In 2011, Gorinchog et al. [49] investigated the JTE and PJTE on the systems with partial loads. The new method of instability and structural transformations in the molecular systems occurs due to relatively weak interaction between the molecular systems. These transformations lead to the distortions due to the JTE, PJTE, and RTE by the use of Orbital Charge Transfers (OCT) that transform the intramolecular vibrational-electron coupling [15].

Based on what was mentioned, and the studies conducted previously regarding the importance of JTE and the fact that hydrogen bonding is one of the effective factors on human life, the intramolecular hydrogen bonding in dimeric compounds of methanoic acid (1) and analogs containing S (2) and Se (3) atoms were investigated with PJTE analysis.

It is estimated that the PJTE describes the transformations of the high-symmetry structures D_{2h} to low-symmetry structures C_{2h} for the following compounds (figure 1). It was revealed that compounds 1 to 3 have a high-symmetry D_{2h} and they are transformed into configuration C_{2h} .

In the current study, the very important issue of mixing the base electron state with the excited electron state for applying the normal coordinates describing the transformation of high-symmetry to low-symmetry has been investigated. The main reason for the transformation of the formations is the PJTE which is created through the combination of the base states with the excited states. Because the base electron state of these compounds is non-aligned, it is natural that all the observed formation changes in a linear configuration with the highest symmetry are due to the PJTE. Generally, the PJTE is used for non-aligned states of any system, the JTE is used for aligned states of the non-linear molecules, and the RTE is used for aligned states of the linear molecules [16].

2- Empirical Chapter:

2-1- The Computational Method:

First, the molecular shape which is written based on the Z_{matrix} is drawn and numbered based on the related symmetry. The




Figure 2: the symmetric D_{2h} formation change of methanoic acid to ($C_{2h} \rightarrow D_{2h}$) C_{2h} formation by the use of calculations in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**

The structural properties of the methanoic acid dimer are investigated by the use of theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**. The results indicated that the structure of methanoic acid dimer has a high symmetry (D_{2h}) and it has a low symmetry in point group C_{2h} . The main reason behind these formation changes is the PJTE which is created by a combination of basic state A_g , which is non-level, with the excited state (B_{3g}) through the displacement of b_{3g} . the PJTE problem is in the form of $(A_g+B_{3g}) \otimes b_{3g}$.

Table 1: The investigation of excited states energy (ev) for methanoic acid dimer and the analog containing S and Se in the symmetric change ($C_{2h} \rightarrow D_{2h}$)

| | B_{2u} | A_u | B_{3u} | B_{1g} | B_{3g} | B_{2u} | B_{3g} |
|------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| $C_2O_4H_4$ | 6.25 | 6.56 | 6.68 | 6.93 | 7.48 | 7.90 | – |
| $C_2S_4H_4$ (1) | 2.76 | 3.04 | 3.25 | 3.52 | 3.64 | 3.98 | 4.62 |
| $C_2S_4H_4$ (2) | 2.80 | 3.08 | 3.29 | 3.56 | 3.68 | – | – |
| $C_2Se_4H_4$ (1) | 2.27 | 2.47 | 2.70 | 2.90 | 3.03 | 3.34 | – |

structure of the optimized molecule and the negative frequency is calculated by the Gaussian Software 03 & 98. Then, the DFT (B3LYP) hybrid-based method with the bases series 6-311++G** is used for all the related compounds.

The calculation period depends on the density functional theory. Undoubtedly, the Time-dependent Density Functional Theory (TD-DFT) is one of the most prevalent instruments for the investigation of the excited states of the molecular systems which have been used for electron configuration of enol forms of methanoic acid dimer and the analog containing S and Se. The results of B3LYP.6-311++G** and TD-DFT show that the main role in changing the high-symmetry configurations (D_{2h}) to the low-symmetry configuration (C_{2h}) for these compounds belongs to the PJTE which is created by the combination of the basic and excited states. The energy difference (Δ) and the stability energy of PJTE between the reference states and ΔE_{el} in the $D_{2h} \rightarrow C_{2h}$ formation change were also investigated.

1- Results and Discussion:

Investigation of the Pseudo Jahn-Teller Effect on the Distortion of Methanoic Acid Dimer Compound

As is seen, in changing the geometric structure from D_{2h} to C_{2h} , the excited states of the methanoic acid dimer are in the form of B_{2g} , A_u , B_{3u} , B_{1g} , B_{3g} , B_{2u} and B_{1u} . The electron energy of some excited states for the methanoic acid dimer has been calculated in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G** are presented in Table (1). In this state, the levels B_{1u} and B_{2u} are high-energy excited states which do not intervene in the mutual pseudo-Jahn-Teller effects.

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|---|
| C ₂ Se ₄ H ₄ (2) | 2.28 | 2.48 | 2.71 | 2.91 | 3.04 | 3.35 | – |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|---|

The energy difference (ΔE) between the basic state and excited state (B_{3g}) in a formation with high symmetry in the methanoic acid dimer (with the orbital compositions [$\text{Homo} - 3(B_{1g}) \rightarrow \text{Lumo} + 1(B_{2g}), \text{Homo} - 2(\text{Au}) \rightarrow \text{Lumo}(B_{3u})$]) is 7.48eV.

Figure (3) shows the energy of the basic state and excited states as well as the distortions of high-symmetry formation due to the PJTE.




Figure 3: the calculated primary energy level (the basic and excited states) in the methanoic acid dimer and their distortions due to the PJTE

The thermodynamic parameters values ΔG , ΔH , ΔS , and ΔE_{el} of this compound which have been calculated by the B3LYP.6-311++G** method are shown in Table (2). The results

obtained from this method show that the ΔE_{el} of the methanoic acid dimer is 7.31 Kcalmol⁻¹.

Table 2: the calculated thermodynamic functions (enthalpy, Gibbs free energy (Hartree) and entropy ($cal\ mol^{-1}k^{-1}$) and the basic energy as well as their changes) in the temperature of 25°C and 1-atmosphere pressure for methanoic acid dimer and the analog containing S and Se atoms by the use of the calculations in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**

| Geometries | H (Hartree) | S | G | ΔH^a | ΔS^a) | ΔG^a | E_{el} | ΔE_{el} |
|---|--------------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1-C ₂ -O ₄ -H ₄ .TD 0.0 | -379.597474 | 74.264 | -379.632759 | 0.004122 | 0.0000 | 0.007145 | -379.6678864 | 0.0116519 |
| | | | | (2.59) b | | (4.48) b | | (7.31)b |
| 1- C ₂ -O ₄ -H ₄ . TD 0.25 | -379.601596 | 80.625 | -379.639904 | 0.0000 | 6.361 | 0.0000 | -379.6795383 | 0.0000 |
| | | | | (0.0) b | | (0.0) b | | (0.00)b |
| 2-C ₂ -S ₄ -H ₄ .TD 0.0 | -1671.401113 | 84.467 | -1671.441246 | 0.014871 | 0.000 | 0.023595 | -1671.4564347 | 0.0228048 |
| | | | | (9.33)b | | (14.81) b | | (14.31)b |
| 2-C ₂ -S ₄ -H ₂ . TD 0.4 | -1671.415984 | 102.828 | -1671.464841 | 0.0000 | 18.361 | 0.000 | -1671.4792395 | 0.0000 |
| | | | | (0.00)b | | (0.0) b | | (0.00)b |
| 3-C ₂ -S ₄ -H ₄ . TD 0.0 | -1671.401113 | 84.467 | -1671.441246 | 0.001348 | 0.0000 | 0.003425 | -1671.4564347 | 0.0022277 |
| | | | | (0.85)b | | (2.15) b | | (1.40)b |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------|------------------|----------|--------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| 3-C ₂ -S ₄ - H ₄ . TD 0.4 | - 1671.402461 | 88.839 | - 1671.444671 | 0.0000 | 4.372 | 0.0000 | - 1671.4586624 | 0.0000 |
| | | | | (0.00)b | | (0.0) b | | (0.00)b |
| 4-C ₂ -Se ₄ - H ₄ . TD 0.0 | - 9684.722764 | 96.708 | - 9684.768713 | 0.010471 | 0.0000 | 0.018529 | - 9684.7746346 | 0.017806 |
| | | | | (6.57)b | | (11.63) b | | (11.17)b |
| 4-C ₂ -Se ₄ - H ₄ TD 0.3 | - 9684.733235 | 113.668 | - 9684.787242 | 0.0000 | 16.96 | 0.000 | - 9684.7924406 | 0.0000 |
| | | | | (0.00)b | | (0.0) b | | (0.00)b |
| 5-C ₂ -Se ₄ - H ₄ . TD 0.0 | - 9684.722764 | 96.708 | - 9684.768713 | 0.002999 | 0.0000 | 0.005175 | - 9684.7746346 | 0.0039233 |
| | | | | (1.88)b | | (3.25) b | | (2.46)b |
| 5-C ₂ -Se ₄ - H ₄ . TD 0.3 | - 9684.725763 | 101.286 | - 9684.773888 | 0.0000 | 4.578 | 0.000 | - 9684.7785579 | 0.0000 |
| | | | | (0.00)b | | (0.0) b | | (0.00)b |

a: compared to the more stable form; b: per kcal mol⁻¹

The methanoic acid dimer, after being optimized by theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G** would have angle and distance values in the symmetry D_{2h} as follows:

$\angle\text{COH} = 32.7^\circ$, $\angle\text{OCC} = 126.6^\circ$

$R_{\text{O}\dots\text{H}} = 1.209^\circ \text{ \AA}$, $R_{\text{C}=\text{O}} = 1.261^\circ \text{ \AA}$, $R_{\text{C}-\text{H}} = 1.095^\circ \text{ \AA}$

Which can be compared to the calculated geometric parametric by C_{2h} . The angles and distances values are as follows:

$\angle\text{CO}-\text{H} = 110.5^\circ$, $\angle\text{COO} = 126.2^\circ$, $\angle\text{CO}\dots\text{H} = 31.3^\circ$

$R_{\text{O}-\text{H}} = 0.99^\circ \text{ \AA}$, $R_{\text{O}\dots\text{H}} = 1.701^\circ \text{ \AA}$, $R_{\text{C}=\text{O}} = 1.314^\circ \text{ \AA}$, $R_{\text{C}=\text{O}} = 1.219^\circ \text{ \AA}$, $R_{\text{C}-\text{H}} = 1.096^\circ \text{ \AA}$




Figure 4: the change in the formation with the symmetry D_{2h} for the analog with S atoms in the methanoic acid dimer in the form of ($D_{2h} \rightarrow C_{2h}$) C_{2h} by the use of calculations in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**

The initial quantum mechanics calculations in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G** showed that the structure of compound 2 has a high symmetry D_{2h} and in the group point C_{2h} it has a low symmetry. These distortions in the high-symmetry formation are created due to the PJTE which is the only source of instability for high-symmetry formations in the level and non-level states. The distortions occur due to a combination of the basic state (A_g) with the excited state (B_{3g}) through the displacement of (b_{3g}), and a combination of the basic state (A_g) with the excited state (A_u) through the displacement of (A_u). It should be noted that after optimizing the molecule and calculating its negative frequencies, two states with negative frequencies were observed and two series

The comparison of these two configurations with the symmetries D_{2h} and C_{2h} shows that the lengths of (O-H) bonds in a formation with symmetry C_{2h} are not equal, however, they are equal in the symmetry D_{2h} . The reason behind inequality between the lengths of (O-H) bonds in the symmetry C_{2h} is the PJTE.

Investigation of the PJTE on the distortion of the Analog Containing S Atom Methanoic Acid Dimer

of calculations for each negative frequency must be done. The JPTE of compound 2 leads to problems (A_g+B_{3g}) \otimes b_{3g} and (A_g+A_u) \otimes A_u .

As seen in Figures (5) and (6), in changing the geometric structure D_{2h} to C_{2h} , the excited states of Methane Dithioic Acid are in the form of $\langle A_u, B_{3g}, B_{1g}, B_{3g}, B_{2u}, B_{3g}$ in the first state and $\langle A_u, B_{3u}, B_{1g}, B_{3g}$ in the second state. The electron energy of some excited states for the structure of Methane Dithioic Acid has been calculated in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G** is provided in Table (2). The last exciting state (B_{3g}) with high energy (4.62 eV) in Figure (5) intervenes in the creation of PJTE.

The basic state with low energy is changed from the symmetric state D_{2h} to the electron formation C_{2h} with lower symmetry

and more stability through Q transfers and combines with the excited state B_{3g} (first state) and excited state A_u (second state) that are going up, and lead to the formation of PJTE. The energy difference between the reference states in the two intended states with symmetries B_{3g} and A_u in compound (5) (along with the orbital compositions

1) [$\text{Homo} - 3 (B_{1g}) \rightarrow \text{Lumo} + 2 (B_{2g}), \text{Homo} - 1(B_{3g}) \rightarrow \text{Lumo} + 2(A_{1g})$]

2) [$\text{Homo} - 1 (B_{3g}) \rightarrow \text{Lumo} (B_{3u})$] is 4.62eV and 3.08eV, respectively. Based on the obtained results, in the intended composition with symmetry A_u , regarding the decrease in energy difference between the basic and excited states, we expect its PJTE energy to be higher. However, the effects of other factors make it unnoticed. Figures (5) and (6) show the basic and excited states energies and the distortions in the high-symmetry formations due to the PJTE.




Figure 5: the calculated primary energy levels (the basic state and excited state B_{3g}) in the analog containing S atom of methanoic acid dimer and their changes due to the PJTE (first state)




Figure 6: the calculated primary energy levels (the basic state and excited state A_u) in the analog containing S atom of methanoic acid dimer and their changes due to the PJTE (second state)

The thermodynamic parameters values ΔG , ΔH , ΔS , and ΔE_{el} of the intended compound which have been calculated by the B3LYP.6-311++G** method, are presented in Table (2).

The results obtained from this method show that ΔE_{el} of the analog containing S atom of the methanoic acid dimer with symmetry B_{3g} is 14.31 Kcal/mol, and it is 1.40 Kcal/mol with the symmetry A_u , which indicates that the compound with symmetry A_u has lower PJTE stability energy.

The geometric parameters (the values of the angles and distances) were calculated for compound 2 with the symmetry D_{2h} , after being optimized by the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**, are as follows:

$$\langle \text{CSH} = 37^\circ, \langle \text{SCS} = 133.2^\circ$$

$$R_{S-H} = 1.670^\circ \text{ \AA}, R_{S-C} = 1.679^\circ \text{ \AA}, R_{C-H} = 1.090^\circ \text{ \AA}$$

(it should be noted that in both states (A_u and B_{3g}), the values of the angles and distances are equal)

The values of the angles and distances with the symmetry D_{2h} are as follows:

$$\langle CS-H = 98.4^\circ, \langle SCS = 130.6^\circ, \langle CS...H = 41.2^\circ$$

$$R_{S-C} = 1.732^\circ \text{ \AA}, R_{S-C} = 1.636^\circ \text{ \AA}, R_{S-H} = 1.363^\circ \text{ \AA}, R_{S...H} = 2.615^\circ \text{ \AA}$$

The comparison between these two configurations with symmetries D_{2h} and C_{2h} shows that the (S...H) bonds length in




Figure 7: the change in the formation with the symmetry D_{2h} for the analog with Se atoms in the methanoic acid dimer in the form of ($D_{2h} \rightarrow C_{2h}$) C_{2h} by the use of calculations in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**

As was mentioned in the previous compounds, the structure of compound 3 has a high symmetry in D_{2h} while it has a low symmetry in the point group C_{2h} . The main reason behind these distortions is PJTE. Because after the optimization by the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**, the negative frequency can be seen in the two-point groups A_u and B_{3g} , these distortions occur due to the combination of the basic state (A_{1g}) with the excited state (B_{3g}) by displacement of b_{3g} , and the combination of basic of the basic state (A_{1g}) with the excited state (A_u) by displacement of A_u (i.e.

the formation with the symmetry D_{2h} is equal in the two states A_u and B_{3g} , however, in the formation with symmetry C_{2h} , the lengths are not equal, which is due to the PJTE.

Investigation of the PJTE on the distortion of the Analog Containing Se Atom Methanoic Acid Dimer

- 1) [Homo - 3 (B_{1g}) \rightarrow Lumo + 1 (B_{2g}), Homo - 2 (A_u) \rightarrow Lumo (B_{3u})]
- 2) [Homo - 1 (B_{3g}) \rightarrow Lumo (B_{3u})]

The PJTE of compound 3 leads to the problems (A_g+B_{3g}) $\otimes b_{3g}$ and (A_g+A_u) $\otimes a_u$.

Figure (8) shows the energies of the basic and excited states with symmetry B_{3g} and the distortions in high-symmetry formations that occur due to the PJTE. Figure (9) shows the energies of the basic and excited states with symmetry A_u .




Figure 8: the calculated primary energy levels (the basic state and excited state B_{3g}) in the analog containing Se atom of methanoic acid dimer and their changes due to the PJTE (first state)




Figure 9: the calculated primary energy levels (the basic state and excited state A_u) in the analog containing Se atom of methanoic acid dimer and their changes due to the PJTE (second state)

The energy difference between the basic state and the excited state (B_{3g}) (Figure 8) and the basic state and excited state (A_u) (Figure 9) are 3.03eV and 2.48eV. Regarding the decrease in energy difference of the compound in symmetry A_u , we expect the PJTE to be higher, but it is not so.

As seen in Figure (8), in changing the geometric structure from D_{2h} to C_{2h} , the excited states of compound 3 are as follows: B_{2g} , A_u , B_{1g} , B_{3g} , and B_{2g} , and the excited states of Figure (9) include B_{2g} , A_u , B_{3u} , B_{1g} , B_{3g} , B_{2g} . The electron energy of some excited states for the structure of compound 3 in the two symmetries B_{3g} and A_u which have been calculated in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G** (eV) are presented in table (5). In the excited state B_{3g} with the energy of 3.03eV (Figure 8), compared to the excited state A_u with the energy of 2.48eV (Figure 9), there is a PJTE which is seen in Table (1). The calculated thermodynamic parameters ΔG , ΔH , ΔS , ΔE_{el} of the intended compound with the theoretical method B3LYP.6-311++G** are presented in Table (2). The results obtained from this method show that ΔE_{el} of the compound 3 for the symmetry B_{3g} is 11.17 kcal mol⁻¹ and it is 2.46 kcal mol⁻¹ which shows that the intended compound with symmetry A_u has a lower PJTE despite the decrease in energy difference between the basic and excited states.

The values of the angles and distances calculated for compound 3 with the symmetry D_{2h} for both negative frequencies (B_{3g} and A_u) are as follows after being optimized by the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G**:

$$\langle CSeH = 37.5^\circ, \langle SeCSe = 134.2^\circ$$

$$R_{Se...H} = 1.809^\circ \text{ \AA}, R_{CSe} = 1.820^\circ \text{ \AA}, R_{C-H} = 1.088^\circ \text{ \AA}$$

The comparison between these two configurations with the symmetries D_{2h} and C_{2h} shows that the lengths of (Se...H) bonds in a formation with symmetry D_{2h} in the two negative frequencies (A_u and B_{3g}) are equal, but they are not equal in the formation C_{2h} which is due to the PJTE.

The results of the B3LYP.6-311++G** calculations for the compounds 1, 2, and 3, show that the main reason behind the formation changes in configurations with high symmetry D_{2h}

to configurations with low symmetry C_{2h} is the PJTE. The combination of the basic state A_g and the excited state B_{3g} leads to the PJTE problem (A_g+B_{3g}) \otimes b_{3g} . Another configuration is the combination of the basic state A_g with the excited state A_u which leads to the PJTE problem (A_g+A_u) \otimes a_u . The energy difference (ΔE) between the reference states is reduced from compound 1 to compound 3 (i.e. 7.48, 3.08-4.62, and 2.48-3.03 eV for the compounds 1, 2, and 3, respectively). Therefore, we expect that the PJTE for these formations changes $D_{2h} \rightarrow C_{2h}$ would increase from compound 1 to compound 3. But, since other factors such as the changes in electron energy of the basic state in the symmetric formation $D_{2h} \rightarrow C_{2h}$ and the electron-vibrational coupling constant (J_{e-v}) and the initial force constant from compound 1 to compound 3 must be taken into consideration, so the PJTE stability energy would not be increased.

The comparison between the two configurations with the symmetries D_{2h} and C_{2h} shows that the lengths of (O-H), (S...H), and (Se...H) in a formation with symmetry D_{2h} in all three compounds 1, 2, and 3, are the same. Therefore, these compounds are symmetric, but in a formation with symmetry C_{2h} , the lengths of (O-H), (S...H), and (Se...H) bonds are different in the intended formations. Therefore, these compounds are asymmetric due to the PJTE and all three compounds contain Intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

Conclusion:

The results were obtained from the calculation in the theoretical level B3LYP.6-311++G** indicate that the PJTE describes the change in the structures with high symmetry D_{2h} to the structures with low symmetry C_{2h} , for the following compounds:

- methanoic acid dimer (compound 1)
- analog containing S atoms of the methanoic acid dimer (compound 2)
- analog containing Se atoms of the methanoic acid dimer (compound 3)

It was revealed that the formations containing hydrogen bonds in compounds 1 to 3 have a high-symmetry D_{2h} configuration and they are changed from the basic state and high-symmetry D_{2h} into the excited and low-symmetry C_{2h} configuration. It brings about the decrease in electron energy of the electron configuration of the basic states and the increase in the electron energy of the electron configuration of the excited states. In the current study, it was argued that the combination of the electron basic state with the electron excited state for application of the normal coordinates can describe the change from the high symmetry to the low symmetry. Therefore, the distortion of high-symmetry D_{2h} formation has a hydrogen bond, and it is as $(Ag+B_{3g})\otimes b_{3g}$ in the compounds 1 to 3. Also, the energy difference from 1 to 3 (i.e. 7.48, 3.08-4.62, and 2.48-3.03 eV for the methanoic acid dimer, and analogs with S and Se atoms, respectively) is decreased. In addition, the investigation of the PJTE for $D_{2h} \rightarrow C_{2h}$ formation changes shows that the PJTE stability energy is reduced from 1 to 3, and is increased from 1 to 2.

Acknowledgments

N Conflict of interest

None.

Financial Support

None.

Ethics Statement

All Permissions to conducting this research has been approved. one.

References:

- [1] Garcia- Fernandez, P., Liu, Y., Bersuker, I. B., Boggs, J. E., Pseudo Jahn-Teller origin of cis-trans and other conformational changes. The role of double bonds, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 13 (2011) 3502-3513.
- [2] Liu, Y, Bersuker, I. B., Zou, W., Boggs, J. E., Pseudo- Jahn- Teller versus Renner-Teller effects in the instability of linear molecules, *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 376 (2010) 30-35.
- [3] propanediol, propanedithial, and propanediselenal: Pseudo Jahn- Teller Effect versus the resonance- assisted hydrogen bond theory, *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, 93 (2015) 1-12.
- [4] Ilkhani, A. R., Monajjemi, M., The Pseudo Jahn-Teller effect of puckering in pentatomic unsaturated rings C_4AE_5 , $A=N$, P , As , $E= H$, F , Cl , *Computational and Theoretical Chemistry*, 1074 (2015) 19-25.
- [5] Kayi, H., Bersuker, I. B., Boggs, J. E., Pseudo Jahn- Teller origin of bending instability of triatomic molecules, *THEOCHEM*, 1023 (2012) 108-114.

- [6] S.F. Tayyari, Z. Moosavi-Tekyeh, M. Soltanpour, A.R. Berenji, and R.E. Sammelson, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 892(2008) 243.
- [7] A. D. Liehr and C.J. Ballhausen, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* 3.304 (1958).
- [8] I. B. Bersuker, *opt. Spektrosk.* 11,319 (1961); *Zh. Eksp.Teor.Fiz.* 43, 1315 (1962) (English transl.: *Sov-phys. – JETP* 16, 933 (1963)); 44, 1238 (1963).
- [9] M. C. M. O'Brien, *proc. R. Soc. London A281*, 323 (1964).
- [10] F. S. Ham, *Phys. Rev.* 138, 1727 (1965).
- [11] J. Kanamori, *J. Appl. Phys.* 31,14 (1960).
- [12] R. J. Elliot, R.T. Harley, W. Hayes, and S.R. P. Smith, *proc. R. Soc. London A* 328, 217 (1972).
- [13] I. B. Bersuker, *phys. Lett. A* 20, 589 (1966).
- [14] F. Renz, H. Oshio, V. Ksenofontov, M. Waldeck, H. Spiering, and p. Gütlich, *Angew.Chem.; Int. Ed.* 39.3699 (2000).
- [15] I. B. Bersuker. *Electronic Structure and properties of Transition Metal Compounds.Introduction to the Theory.Second ed.*, Wiley, NewYork, 2010.
- [16] A.R. Nekoei, S.F. Tayyari, M. Vakili, S. Holakoei, A.H. Hamidian and R.E. Sammelson, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 932 (2009) 112.